arXiv:math/0310192v1 [math.AC] 13 Oct 2003

# COMPLETIONS OF VALUATION RINGS

STEVEN DALE CUTKOSKY AND LAURA GHEZZI

Abstract. Let $k$ be a field of characteristic zero, $K$ an algebraic function field over $k$, and $V$ a $k$-valuation ring of $K$. Zariski's theorem of local uniformization shows that there exist algebraic regular local rings $R_i$ with quotient field $K$ which are dominated by $V$, and such that the direct limit $\cup R_i = V$.

We investigate the ring $T = \cup \hat{R}_i$. The ring $T$ is Henselian and thus can be considered to be a "completion" of the valuation ring $V$. We give an example showing that $T$ is in general not a valuation ring. Making use of a result of Heinzer and Sally, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for $T$ to be a valuation ring.

The essential obstruction to $T$ being a valuation ring is the problem of the rank of the valuation increasing upon extending the valuation dominating a particular $R$ to a valuation dominating its completion. In the case of rank 1 valuations, we show that this problem can be handled in a very satisfactory way.

Finally, suppose that $K^*$ is a finite algebraic extension of $K$ and $V^*$ is a rank 1 $k$-valuation ring of $K^*$ such that $V = V^* \cap K$. We obtain a relative local uniformization theorem for the extension $K^*$ of $K$, that generalizes previous results of Cutkosky and Piltant.

## 1. Introduction

Suppose that $k$ is a field of characteristic zero, $K$ is an algebraic function field over $k$, and $V$ is a $k$-valuation ring of $K$ (that is, $k \subset V$ and the quotient field of $V$ is $K$). Zariski's theorem of local uniformization [23] shows that there exist algebraic regular local rings $R_i$ with quotient field $K$ which are dominated by $V$, and such that the direct limit

$$\cup R_i = V.$$

Now suppose that $K^*$ is a finite algebraic extension of $K$ and $V^*$ is a $k$-valuation ring of $K^*$ such that $V = V^* \cap K$. Let $\Gamma^*$ be the value group of $V^*$, and $\Gamma$ be the value group of $V$.

The first author has shown with Olivier Piltant in [9] that a relative local uniformization theorem holds for the extension $K^*$ of $K$, which gives the strongest possible generalization of the classical ramification theory of Dedekind domains to general valuations. The following theorem is a summary of some of the conclusions of Theorem 6.3 [9].

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose that assumptions and notations are as above. Let $k'$ be an algebraic closure of $V^*/m_{V^*}$. Then there exist a directed system of algebraic regular local rings $S_i$ with quotient field $K^*$ which are dominated by $V^*$, and a directed system of algebraic normal local rings $R_i$ with quotient field $K$ which are dominated by $V$ such that*

1. *$\cup S_i = V^*$ and $\cup R_i = V$.*
2. *$S_i$ is a localization at a maximal ideal of the integral closure of $R_i$ in $K^*$ for all $i$.*

---

Research of the first author was partially supported by NSF.

(3) *There exist actions of* $\Gamma^*/\Gamma$ *on* $\hat{S}_i \otimes_{S_i/m_{S_i}} k'$ *which are compatible with the directed system so that*

$$(\hat{S}_i \otimes_{S_i/m_{S_i}} k')^{\Gamma^*/\Gamma} \cong \hat{R}_i \otimes_{R_i/m_{R_i}} k'.$$

It was shown by an example of Abhyankar [2] that it is in general not possible to find an algebraic regular local ring $S$ with quotient field $K^*$ which is dominated by $V^*$ such that there exists an algebraic regular local ring $R$ with quotient field $K$ such that $S$ is a localization of the integral closure of $R$ in $K^*$. The fact (proven in [9] and [7]) that normal local rings $R$ exist satisfying this property proves the "local weak simultaneous resolution conjecture" of Abhyankar, posed by Abhyankar in [2] and [5]. The $R_i$ found in the proof of Theorem 1.1 in fact have toric singularities. This is reflected in the fact stated above that their completions are abelian quotient singularities.

From this theorem we obtain the following.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let notations be as in Theorem 1.1. Let* $U^* = \cup \hat{S}_i \otimes_{S_i/m_{S_i}} k'$ *and let* $U = \cup \hat{R}_i \otimes_{R_i/m_{R_i}} k'$. *Then* $U^*$ *and* $U$ *are Henselian normal domains, and* $Q(U^*)$ *is a finite Galois extension of* $Q(U)$ *with Galois group* $\Gamma^*/\Gamma$.

We give a proof of Theorem 1.2 in Section 3.

In this paper we compare the "completion" of Theorem 1.2 with other notions of completion of a valuation ring ([16], [18], [19], [14], [21], [22]).

Let us briefly allow $k$ to be an arbitrary field. We summarize some of the results of Section 4. Suppose that $\{R_i\}$ is a directed system of normal algebraic local rings which are dominated by $V$, and such that $\cup R_i = V$. The ring $T = \cup \hat{R}_i$ does not depend on our choice of $\{R_i\}$ whose union is $V$ (Lemma 4.1), and is Henselian (Proposition 4.2). Thus $T$ can be considered to be a "completion" of the valuation ring $V$. We give an example showing that $T$ is in general not a valuation ring, and we show that $T$ is itself a valuation ring if and only if for each $i$ there exists a unique valuation ring $V_i$ with quotient field $K_i$ (where $K_i$ is the quotient field of $\hat{R}_i$) which dominates $V$ and $\hat{R}_i$. (Theorem 4.4). We make use of a theorem of Heinzer and Sally [14] on the uniqueness of extensions of valuations dominating a local ring to their completion in proving this result.

We give an example (Example 7.5) showing that even if $V$ and $T$ are rank 1 valuation rings, then $T$ is in general not complete and in particular is not a maximal immediate extension, as defined in [18] and [16].

The essential obstruction to $T$ being a valuation ring is the problem of the rank of the valuation increasing upon extending the valuation dominating a particular $R$ to a valuation dominating its completion (Corollary to Theorem 4.4). In the case of rank 1 valuations, this problem can be handled in a very satisfactory way, and (in characteristic zero) we will obtain a good valuation theoretic explanation of Theorem 1.2.

In Section 5, we define the prime ideal $p(\hat{R})_\infty$ of elements of infinite value of the completion $\hat{R}$ of an algebraic local ring $R$ dominated by a rank 1 valuation $V$. This prime has previously been defined and considered in [6] and [22], as well as by Spivakovsky. The essential point here is that there is a unique extension of the valuation ring $V$ to a valuation ring of the quotient field of $\hat{R}/p(\hat{R})_\infty$ which dominates $\hat{R}/p(\hat{R})_\infty$. We conclude that there is a unique valuation ring $\overline{V}$ of the quotient field of the ring $\overline{T} = \cup \hat{R}_i/p(\hat{R}_i)_\infty$ which contains $T$.

In the case when $V$ has rank greater than 1 there is no natural ideal in $\hat{R}$ which contains the obstruction to the jumping of the rank of an extension of $V$ to $\hat{R}$, although this obstruction is obtained in a series of prime ideals in quotient rings of $\hat{R}$.

For the remainder of this introduction we assume that $k$ has characteristic zero, and $V$ has rank 1. We prove that $\overline{T}$ is in fact a valuation ring in Theorem 7.3, and that $(\overline{T}, Q(\overline{T}))$ is an immediate Henselian extension of $(V, K)$ in Theorem 7.4.

We further show (in Theorem 7.4) that we can choose our system of regular local rings $R_i$ so that each $\hat{R}_i/p(\hat{R}_i)_\infty$ is a regular local ring. The main new technical result used in this statement is Theorem 6.5, which shows that we can simultaneously resolve the primes of infinite value in a finite extension. In this case the "finite extension" is just the identity, but we will need this more general result later.

We now turn to an analysis of our finite extension $K^*$ over $K$, in the case when $V^*$ (and $V = V^* \cap K$) are rank 1 valuation rings and $k$ has characteristic zero. We make essential use of Theorem 6.5 (on simultaneous resolution of the primes of infinite value). We obtain in Theorems 8.1 and 8.2 a generalization of Theorem 5.1 [6] and Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 6.3 [9]) in this context. Let $k'$ be an algebraic closure of $V^*/m_{V^*}$. We find a system of regular local rings $S_i$ whose union is $V^*$, and a system of normal local rings $R_i$ whose union is $V$, such that for all $i$, $S_i$ is a localization at a maximal ideal of the integral closure of $R_i$ in $K^*$. If $q_i$ and $p_i$ are the respective primes of infinite value, then $\hat{S}_i/q_i$ is a regular local ring and $\hat{R}_i/p_i$ is a normal local ring with toric singularities. There are compatible actions of $\Gamma^*/\Gamma$ on $(\hat{S}_i/q_i) \otimes_{S_i/m_{S_i}} k'$ such that

$$((\hat{S}_i/q_i) \otimes_{S_i/m_{S_i}} k')^{\Gamma^*/\Gamma} \cong (\hat{R}_i/p_i) \otimes_{R_i/m_{R_i}} k'.$$

From Theorems 8.1 and 8.2 we obtain the following.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let notations be as in Theorem 1.1. Assume that $V^*$ (and $V = V^* \cap K$) have rank 1, and that $k = V^*/m_{V^*}$ is algebraically closed of characteristic zero. Then there exist directed systems of algebraic local rings $R_i$ and $S_i$ satisfying the conclusions of Theorem 1.1 and such that $\hat{R}_i/p_i$ and $\hat{S}_i/q_i$, where $q_i$ and $p_i$ are the primes of elements of infinite value, satisfy the conclusions of the above paragraph. Let $\overline{U}^* = \cup \hat{S}_i/q_i$ and let $\overline{U} = \cup \hat{R}_i/p_i$. Then $\overline{U}^*$ and $\overline{U}$ are Henselian valuation rings, such that $(\overline{U}^*, Q(\overline{U}^*))$ and $(\overline{U}, Q(\overline{U}))$ are immediate extensions of $(V^*, K^*)$ and $(V, K)$ respectively, and $Q(\overline{U}^*)$ is a finite Galois extension of $Q(\overline{U})$ with Galois group $\Gamma^*/\Gamma$.*

We give the proof of Theorem 1.3 in Section 8.

## 2. Notations

We will denote the maximal ideal of a local ring $R$ by $m_R$ or $m(R)$. We will denote the quotient field of a domain $R$ by $Q(R)$. Suppose that $R \subset S$ is an inclusion of local rings. We will say that $R$ dominates $S$ if $m_S \cap R = m_R$. Suppose that $K$ is an algebraic function field over a field $k$. We will say that a subring $R$ of $K$ is algebraic if $R$ is essentially of finite type over $k$. Suppose that $K^*$ is a finite extension of an algebraic function field $K$, $R$ is a local ring with quotient field $K$ and $S$ is a local ring with quotient field $K^*$. We will say that $S$ lies over $R$ and $R$ lies below $S$ if $S$ is a localization at a maximal ideal of the integral closure of $R$ in $K^*$. If $R$ is a local ring, $\hat{R}$ will denote the completion of $R$ at its maximal ideal.

Good introductions to the valuation theory which we require in this paper can be found in Chapter VI of [24] and in [3]. A valuation $\nu$ of $K$ will be called a $k$-valuation if $\nu(k) = 0$. We will denote by $V_\nu$ the associated valuation ring, which necessarily contains $k$. A valuation ring $V$ of $K$ will be called a $k$-valuation ring if $k \subset V$. The residue field $V/m_V$ of a valuation ring $V$ will be denoted by $k(\nu)$. The value group of a valuation $\nu$ with valuation ring $V$ will be denoted by $\Gamma_\nu$ or $\Gamma_V$. If $R$ is a subring of $V_\nu$ then the center of $\nu$ (the center of $V_\nu$) on $R$ is the prime ideal $R\cap m_{V_\nu}$.

Suppose that $R$ is a local domain. A monoidal transform $R\rightarrow R_1$ is a birational extension of local domains such that $R_1=R[\frac{P}{x}]_m$ where $P$ is a regular prime ideal of $R$, $0\neq x\in P$ and $m$ is a prime ideal of $R[\frac{P}{x}]$ such that $m\cap R=m_R$. $R\rightarrow R_1$ is called a quadratic transform if $P=m_R$.

If $R$ is regular, and $R\rightarrow R_1$ is a monoidal transform, then there exists a regular system of parameters $(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ in $R$ and $r\le n$ such that

$$R_1=R\left[\frac{x_2}{x_1},\dots,\frac{x_r}{x_1}\right]_m.$$

Suppose that $\nu$ is a valuation of the quotient field $R$ with valuation ring $V_\nu$ which dominates $R$. Then $R\rightarrow R_1$ is a monoidal transform along $\nu$ (along $V_\nu$) if $\nu$ dominates $R_1$.

## 3. Completion of relative local uniformization

We now give the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Let $\overline{S}_i=\hat S_i\otimes_{S_i/m_{S_i}}k'$, $\overline{R}_i=\hat R_i\otimes_{R_i/m_{R_i}}k'$ for $i\in I$ and let $G=\Gamma^*/\Gamma$. $U^*$ is the directed union of the $\overline{S}_i$ and $U$ is the directed union of the $\overline{R}_i$. The fact that $R_i$ is a normal domain implies $\hat R_i$ is a normal domain (Chapter VIII, Section 13, Theorem 32 [24]) and thus $\overline{R}_i$ is a normal local domain (Proposition IV.6.7.4 [11]). Thus $U$ (and $U^*$) are normal domains. The fact that $U$ and $U^*$ are Henselian follows from the proof of Proposition 4.2. The action of $G$ on $U^*$ extends to an action on $Q(U^*)$. Suppose that $h\in U^*$ and $\sigma(h)=h$ for all $\sigma\in G$. There exists $i$ such that $h\in Q(\overline{S}_i)$. Since $\overline{S}_i$ is finite over $\overline{R}_i$ and $\overline{S}_i^G=\overline{R}_i$, it follows that $Q(\overline{S}_i)^G=Q(\overline{R}_i)$. Thus $h\in Q(U)$. We conclude that $Q(U^*)^G=Q(U)$, so that $Q(U^*)$ is a finite Galois extension of $Q(U)$ (c.f. Theorem V.2.15 [15]).

**Remark 3.1.** *The statement that $Q(U^*)$ is finite over $Q(U)$ can be seen directly from the fact that the minimal polynomial of each $Q(\hat S_i)$ over $Q(\hat R_i)$ is a factor of the minimal polynomial of an appropriate primitive element of $K^*$ over $K$ (by Proposition 1 [1]).*

The fact that the extension considered in Theorem 1.2 is Galois, even when the original field extension $K^*/K$ is not, is a condition that can be easily seen in the case when $U$ and $U^*$ are valuation rings, as the first author realized with Franz-Viktor Kuhlmann in a discussion.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Suppose that $V$ is a Henselian valuation ring of a field $K$, such that $V$ contains an algebraically closed field $k$ of characteristic zero, with $k\cong V/m_V$. If $L$ is a finite extension of $K$, then there is a unique valuation ring $W$ of $L$ such that $W$ dominates $V$, and $L$ is Galois over $K$ with Galois group $\Gamma_W/\Gamma_V$, where $\Gamma_W$ and $\Gamma_V$ are the respective value groups.*

*Proof.* Let $J$ be a finite Galois extension of $K$ which contains $L$. Let $G$ be the Galois group of $J$ over $K$. Since $V$ is Henselian, there exists a unique valuation ring $U$ of $J$ such that $U$ dominates $V$ ((16.4), (16.6) [10]). Thus the splitting group $G^s(U/V)=G$ by Proposition 1.46 [3]. We have $U/m_U=V/m_V=k$ since $k$ is algebraically closed. Thus the inertia group $G^i(U/V)=G^s(U/V)=G$ by Theorem 1.48 [3]. Finally,

$$G=G^i(U/V)\cong\Gamma_U/\Gamma_V$$

by Theorem 3 [17] or Chapter VI, Section 12, Corollary [24].

Since $G$ is abelian, all intermediate subfields of $J$ are Galois over $K$. Thus $L$ is Galois over $K$, and the Galois group of $L$ over $K$ is $\Gamma_W/\Gamma_V$. $\square$

## 4. Completions of valuation rings

Suppose that $K$ is an algebraic function field over a field $k$, and $V$ is a valuation ring of $K$ with maximal ideal $m_V$ and value group $\Gamma$. Suppose that $\{R_i \mid i \in I\}$ is a directed system of normal local rings such that

(a) $V = \cup_{i\in I} R_i$.
(b) $I$ has a minimum 0.
(c) Each $R_i$ is essentially of finite type over $k$ and has quotient field $K$.
(d) If $i < j$ then $R_j$ dominates $R_i$.

Let $K_i$ be the quotient field of $\hat{R}_i$. By Zariski's subspace theorem ((10.13) [4]) we have natural inclusions $\hat{R}_i \rightarrow \hat{R}_j$ if $i < j$, and $\{\hat{R}_i \mid i \in I\}$ is a directed system of normal local rings (Scholie 7.8.3 [11]). Let

$$T = \cup_{i\in I} \hat{R}_i$$

and $K_\infty = \cup K_i$. $T$ is a normal domain with quotient field $K_\infty$ and maximal ideal $m_T = \cup m_{\hat{R}_i}$.

Given a valuation ring $V$ as above, there exists a directed system of normal local rings $\{R_i\}$ whose union is $V$. A particular construction is as follows. We take $R_0$ to be any normal local ring which is dominated by $V$. If $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and $f_1, \dots, f_m \in V$ we set $i = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ and let $R_i$ be the localization of the normalization of $R_0[f_1, \dots, f_m]$ which is dominated by $V$.

**Lemma 4.1.** *The ring $T = \cup_{i\in I}\hat{R}_i$ is independent of choice of directed system $\{R_i \mid i \in I\}$ satisfying (a),(b),(c), and (d).*

*Proof.* Let $J$ be a partially ordered set, and let $\{S_j \mid j \in J\}$ be a collection of algebraic local rings with quotient field $K$, such that $\{S_j\}$ satisfies (a),(b),(c) and (d). We show that $\cup_{i\in I}\hat{R}_i = \cup_{j\in J}\hat{S}_j$.

Let $i \in I$. Since $R_i$ is essentially of finite type over $k$ and dominated by $V$, there exist $f_1, \dots, f_m \in V$ such that $R_i = k[f_1, \dots, f_m]_{m_V \cap k[f_1,\dots,f_m]}$. Since $V = \cup_{j\in J} S_j$ and $J$ is directed, there exists $j \in J$ such that $f_1, \dots, f_m \in S_j$, and so $k[f_1, \dots, f_m]_{m_V\cap k[f_1,\dots,f_m]} \subset S_j$, since $S_j$ is dominated by $V$. Hence $R_i \subset S_j$. There is then a natural inclusion $\hat{R}_i \subset \hat{S}_j$, and thus $\cup_{i\in I}\hat{R}_i \subset \cup_{j\in J}\hat{S}_j$. The other inclusion is proven in the same way. $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.** *The ring $T = \cup_{i\in I}\hat{R}_i$ is Henselian.*

*Proof.* Let $F \in T[x]$ and $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in T/m_T[x]$ be monic polynomials such that $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$ are relatively prime and $\bar{F} = \pi(F) = \phi_1\phi_2$, where $\pi : T \rightarrow T/m_T$ is the natural projection. We need to show that there exist monic polynomials $F_1, F_2 \in T[x]$ such that $\pi(F_1) = \phi_1$, $\pi(F_2) = \phi_2$, and $F = F_1F_2$.

Since both $T = \cup\hat{R}_i$ and $T/m_T = \cup\hat{R}_i/m_{\hat{R}_i}$ are directed unions, there exists $c \in I$ such that $F \in \hat{R}_c[x]$ and $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \hat{R}_c/m_{\hat{R}_c}$.

Since $\hat{R}_c$ is complete, there exist monic polynomials $F_1, F_2 \in \hat{R}_c[x]$ such that $\pi'(F_1) = \phi_1$, $\pi'(F_2) = \phi_2$, and $F = F_1F_2$. Since $F_1, F_2 \in T[x]$, $F_1$ and $F_2$ are the desired polynomials. $\square$

**Example 4.3.** *In general, $T$ is not a valuation ring.*

*Proof.* Let $k$ be a field and $R = k[x,y,z]_{(x,y,z)}$. We will define a valuation $\nu$ on $K = Q(R)$ which dominates $R$. Let $p(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i t^i \in k[[t]]$ be a transcendental series. If $f \in k[x,y,z]$ write $f = z^r g(x,y,z)$ where $g(x,y,0) \neq 0$. We define

$$\nu(f) = (r, \ \operatorname{ord} g(t,p(t),0)).$$

The value group of $\nu$ is $\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$ with the lexicographic order. Let $V$ be the valuation ring of $\nu$ and let $R_i$ be a directed system of regular local rings satisfying (a), (b), (c) and (d) with $R_0 = R$. Such a system exists by Theorem 1.1. In particular, $V = \cup R_i$.

We will suppose that $T$ is a valuation ring and derive a contradiction. Let $\overline{\nu}$ be an extension of $\nu$ to $Q(T)$ such that $T$ is the valuation ring of $\overline{\nu}$. There is a natural embedding of value groups

$$\Gamma_\nu = \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z} \subset \Gamma_{\overline{\nu}}.$$

$\frac{z}{y-p(x)} \in Q(T)$. If $\overline{\nu}(\frac{z}{y-p(x)}) \geq 0$ let $f = \frac{z}{y-p(x)}$. If $\overline{\nu}(\frac{z}{y-p(x)}) < 0$ let $f = \frac{y-p(x)}{z}$. By construction $\overline{\nu}(f) \geq 0$ so that $f \in T$ (by our assumption that $T$ is a valuation ring). Thus there exists $\hat{R}_i$ such that $f \in \hat{R}_i$. By our hypothesis, $R_i$ dominates $R$. Since $R_i$ is essentially of finite type over $R$, $Q(R) = Q(R_i)$ and $R$ is a UFD, there exists an ideal $I \subset R$ of height $\geq 2$ and $a \in I$ with

$$\nu(a) = \min\{\nu(h) \mid h \in I\}$$

such that $R[\frac{I}{a}] \subset V$ and

$$R_i = R[\frac{I}{a}]_{m_V \cap R[\frac{I}{a}]}$$

Since $I \not\subset (z)$, we have that $\nu(a) \in \{0\} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$. Let $R' = \hat{R}[\frac{I}{a}]_{m_T \cap \hat{R}[\frac{I}{a}]}$.

$$f \in Q(\hat{R}) \cap \hat{R}_i = Q(R') \cap \hat{R}' = R'.$$

For the last equality, c.f. Lemma 2.1 [6].

We can thus write $f = \frac{g}{h}$ with $g, h \in \hat{R}[\frac{I}{a}]$ and $h \notin m_T \cap \hat{R}[\frac{I}{a}]$. Thus $\overline{\nu}(h) = 0$. There exists $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $a^n g = g_0$, $a^n h = h_0$ and $g_0, h_0 \in \hat{R}$. Thus $\overline{\nu}(h_0) = n\nu(a) + \overline{\nu}(h) = (0,m)$ for some $m \in \mathbf{N}$.

If $f = \frac{z}{y-p(x)}$, we have $zh_0 = (y-p(x))g_0$ implies $y - p(x)$ divides $h_0$ in $\hat{R}$. But

$$y - p(x) = (y - \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} a_i x^i) - x^{m+2}(\sum_{i=m+2}^{\infty} a_i x^{i-m-2}).$$

Thus $\overline{\nu}(y-p(x)) > (0,m)$, which is a contradiction.

If $f = \frac{y-p(x)}{z}$, then $(y-p(x))h_0 = zg_0$ implies $z$ divides $h_0$ in $\hat{R}$.

This is a contradiction since $\nu(z) = (1,0) > (0,m) = \overline{\nu}(h_0)$.

□

As an extension of the above example, we construct valuations $\nu_1$, $\nu_2$ of $Q(\hat{R})$ which extend $\nu$ and dominate $\hat{R}$ such that $\nu_1$ is an immediate extensions of $\nu$ ($k(\nu) = k(\nu_1)$ and $\Gamma_\nu = \Gamma_{\nu_1}$) but $\nu_2$ is a rank 3 valuation, of higher rank than $\nu$.

We first define $\nu_1$. For $f \in \hat{R}$, write

$$f(x,y,z) = \sum a_{ij}(y-p(x))^i z^j \tag{1}$$

with $a_{ij} \in k[[x]]$. Let

$$a = \min\{i + j \mid a_{ij}(x) \neq 0\},$$

$$b = \min\{\operatorname{ord}(a_{ij}(x)) \mid i + j = a\}.$$

Set $\nu_1(f) = (a, b) \in \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$. $\nu_1$ defines a valuation on $Q(\hat{R})$ which dominates $\hat{R}$. We will now verify that $\nu_1$ extends $\nu$. For $f \in k[x, y]$, write

$$f = \sum b_j(x, y) z^j$$

with each $b_j \in k[x, y]$. Suppose that $\nu(f) = (r, s)$. Comparing with (1), we see that for all $j$,

$$b_j(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{ij}(x)(y - p(x))^i.$$

Thus we either have that $b_j = 0$ or $a_{0j} \neq 0$, since $y - p(x) \nmid b_j(x, y)$. Thus

$$a = \min\{j \mid b_j(x, y) \neq 0\} = r.$$

Set $g = \frac{f}{z^r}$. We have

$$b = \operatorname{ord}(a_{0r}(x)) = \operatorname{ord}(g(x, p(x))) = s.$$

Thus $\nu_1(f) = \nu(f)$. It follows that $\nu_1$ is an immediate extension of $\nu$.

Now we define the extension $\nu_2$. For $f \in \hat{R}$, write $f = z^\alpha g(x, y, z)$ where $z \nmid g(x, y, z)$. Write $g(x, y, 0) = (y - p(x))^\beta h(x, y)$ where $y - p(x) \nmid g(x, y, 0)$. Set $\gamma = \operatorname{ord}(h(x, p(x)))$. Define $\nu_2(f) = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathbf{Z}^3$, where $\mathbf{Z}^3$ has the lexicographic order. $\nu_2$ extends to a valuation of $Q(\hat{R})$ which dominates $\hat{R}$, and such that $\nu_2$ extends $\nu$.

**Theorem 4.4.** *$T$ is a valuation ring if and only if for all $i$, there exists a unique valuation ring $V_i$ with quotient field $K_i$ which dominates $V$ and $\hat{R}_i$.*

*Proof.* Suppose that for all $i$, there exists a unique valuation ring $V_i$ with quotient field $K_i$ which dominates $V$ and $\hat{R}_i$. By Proposition 4.1 [14]

$$V_i = \hat{R}_i[V]_{m_V \hat{R}_i[V]} \subset K_i$$

is a valuation ring for all $i$. Let

$$V_\infty = \cup V_i = (\cup \hat{R}_i)[V]_{m_V (\cup \hat{R}_i)[V]} \subset K_\infty$$

where $K_\infty$ is the quotient field of $T$. $f \in K_\infty$ implies $f \in K_i$ for some $i$ which implies $f \in V_i$ or $\frac{1}{f} \in V_i$. Thus $f \in V_\infty$ or $\frac{1}{f} \in V_\infty$. Thus $V_\infty$ is a valuation ring. $V \subset \cup \hat{R}_i$ implies $V_\infty = \cup \hat{R}_i = T$, and we conclude that $T$ is a valuation ring.

Now suppose that $T$ is a valuation ring. Let $m = \cup m_{R_i} \hat{R}_i$ be the maximal ideal of $T$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $V/m_V$ is algebraic over $k$. For if this is not the case, we can replace $k$ with a rational function field $k'$ over $k$ contained in all of the $R_i$ such that $V/m_V$ is algebraic over $k'$.

Suppose that for some index $i$, $V_1$ is a valuation ring with quotient field $K_i$ which dominates $\hat{R}_i$ and $V$. We will show that there exists a valuation ring $W_1$ with quotient field $K_\infty$ which dominates $T$ and such that $W_1 \cap K_i = V_1$.

Consider the domain $A = T[V_1] \subset K_\infty$. Let $I \subset A$ be the ideal $I = (m + m_{V_1})A$. We will first establish that $1 \notin I$. If it were true that $1 \in I$, then there would exist an index $j > i$ such that $1 \in (m_{R_j} + m_{V_1})\hat{R}_j[V_1]$. Since $R_i \to R_j$ is birational, there exists an ideal $b \subset R_i$ and $x \in b$ such that $\frac{b}{x} \subset V$ and $R_j$ is a localization of $R_i[\frac{b}{x}]$ at a maximal ideal. Thus $\hat{R}_i[\frac{b}{x}] \subset V_1$ since $V \subset V_1$. Let $\overline{\nu}$ be a valuation of $K_i$ which has $V_1$ for its valuation ring. We have $\overline{\nu}(\hat{R}_i[\frac{b}{x}]) \geq 0$ and $\overline{\nu}(m_{R_j}) > 0$ since $m_{R_j} = m_{V_1} \cap R_j$. Thus $m_{R_j} \hat{R}_i[\frac{b}{x}] \subset m_{V_1}$, and $V_1$ dominates $\hat{R}_i[\frac{b}{x}]_{m_{R_j} \hat{R}_i[\frac{b}{x}]}$. Thus there exists a valuation ring $U_1$ of $K_j$ such that $U_1 \cap K_i = V_1$ and $U_1$ dominates $\hat{R}_j$ (as follows from page 177 of [14]). $(m_{R_j}+m_{V_1})\hat{R}_j[V_1]\subset m_{U_1}$ implies $1\not\in(m_{R_j}+m_{V_1})\hat{R}_j[V_1]$. Thus we have a contradiction, and $1\not\in I$.

Let $a$ be a prime ideal in $A$ which contains $I$. Suppose that $h\in A/a$. There exists an index $j$ such that we can write $h$ as a class $h=[\sum f_rg_r]$ with $f_r\in V_1$ and $g_r\in\hat{R}_j$. We have natural inclusions $\hat{R}_j/m_{\hat{R}_j}\rightarrow A/a$ and $V_1/m_{V_1}\rightarrow A/a$ such that $h$ is the image of the induced map $\hat{R}_j/m_{\hat{R}_j}\otimes_k V_1/m_{V_1}\rightarrow A/a$. Thus $h$ is algebraic over $V_1/m_{V_1}$, since $\hat{R}_j/m_{\hat{R}_j}$ is finite over $k$. We conclude that $A/a$ is algebraic over $V_1/m_{V_1}$.

There exists a valuation ring $W_1$ which contains $A$ such that $m_{W_1}\cap A=a$ and $W_1/m_{W_1}$ is algebraic over $A/a$, by Corollary 3 to Theorem 5' of Section 4, Chapter VI [24]. Let $\overline{W}_1=W_1\cap K_i$. $\overline{W}_1$ contains $V_1$ and $m_{V_1}\subset m_{\overline{W}_1}$. But $\overline{W}_1/m_{\overline{W}_1}$ is algebraic over $V_1/m_{V_1}$ since $W_1/m_{W_1}$ is algebraic over $V_1/m_{V_1}$. Thus $V_1=\overline{W}_1$ by Theorem 2 of Section 3, Chapter VI [24] and Corollary 1 to Theorem 5 of Section 4, Chapter VI [24].

We have thus proved the existence of an extension $W_1$ of $V_1$ to $K_\infty$ which restricts to $V_1$ and dominates $T$.

Continuing with the proof of the theorem, suppose that for some index $i$, the extension of $V$ to $K_i$ which dominates $\hat{R}_i$ is not unique. There are then extensions $V_1$ and $V_2$ of $V$ to $K_i$ which dominate $\hat{R}_i$ such that $V_1\not\subset V_2$ and $V_2\not\subset V_1$.

We have shown that there then exist valuation rings $W_1$ and $W_2$ of $K_\infty$ such that $T\subset W_1\cap W_2$, $W_1\cap K_i=V_1$ and $W_2\cap K_i=V_2$. Thus $W_1\not\subset W_2$ and $W_2\not\subset W_1$. But this is impossible since $T$ is a valuation ring of $K_\infty$, by Theorem 3, Section 3, Chapter VI [24]. ☐

**Corollary 4.5.** *$T$ is a valuation ring if for all $i$ there does not exist an extension of $V$ to $K_i$ which dominates $\hat{R}_i$ of higher rank than the rank of $V$.*

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 4.4 and the remark on page 181 of [14] which shows that if the extension of $V$ to $K_i$ which dominates $\hat{R}_i$ is not unique then there must be an extension of higher rank. ☐

The converse to the above corollary is false, as is seen by the following simple example. Let $p(t)\in k[[t]]$ be a transcendental power series with constant term zero. Consider the rank 1 discrete valuation $\nu$ on $k(x,y)$ defined by the embedding of $k$-algebras

$$k(x,y)\rightarrow k\ll t\gg$$

generated by $x=t, y=p(t)$ where $k\ll t\gg$ denotes the quotient field of $k[[t]]$. $\nu$ dominates $R=k[x,y]_{(x,y)}$. The valuation ring $V$ of $\nu$ extends uniquely to a rank 2 valuation ring of the quotient field of $k[[x,y]]$ which dominates $k[[x,y]]$. Furthermore, the construction gives a unique extension of $V$ to a rank 2 valuation ring which dominates $Q(\hat{S})$ for any algebraic normal local ring $S$ of $k(x,y)$ such that $V$ dominates $S$ and $S$ dominates $R$.

It follows from Theorem 4.4 that the examples in [14] of valuation rings dominating regular local rings $R$ which do not have unique extensions in $Q(\hat{R})$ dominating $\hat{R}$ generate examples where $T$ is not a valuation ring.

## 5. The prime ideal of elements of infinite value

We will assume in this section that $V$ has rank 1, that is, the value group of $V$ is a (possibly nondiscrete) subgroup $\Gamma$ of $\mathbf{R}$. Other notations and assumptions will be as in Section 4.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Suppose that $V$ has rank 1 and that $R$ is an algebraic normal local ring of $K$ such that $V$ dominates $R$ and $f \in \hat{R}$. Then one of the following must hold.*

1. *There exists $\rho \in \Gamma$ such that if $\{f_n\}$ is any Cauchy sequence in $R$ which converges to $f$, then $\nu(f_n) = \rho$ for all $n \gg 0$.*
2. *If $\rho \in \Gamma$ and if $\{f_n\}$ is any Cauchy sequence in $R$ which converges to $f$, then $\nu(f_n) > \rho$ for $n \gg 0$.*

*Proof.* We first argue that (1) or (2) must hold for a fixed Cauchy sequence $\{f_n\}$ in $R$ which converges to $f$. Suppose that (2) doesn't hold. Then there exists $\overline{\rho} \in \Gamma$ such that given $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$, there exists $\overline{n} > n_0$ such that $\nu(f_{\overline{n}}) \le \overline{\rho}$. Let $i \in \mathbf{N}$ be such that $i\nu(m_R) > \overline{\rho}$, and let $n_0$ be such that $f_m - f_n \in m_R^i$ if $m, n > n_0$. There exists $\overline{n} > n_0$ such that $\nu(f_{\overline{n}}) \le \overline{\rho}$. Then $\nu(f_n) = \nu(f_{\overline{n}})$ if $n > n_0$, so (1) holds for $\{f_n\}$.

If $\{f_n\}$ and $\{g_l\}$ are two distinct Cauchy sequences in $R$ which converge to $f$, then for all $i \in \mathbf{N}$, there exists $n(i)$ such that $f_n - g_l \in m_R^i$ if $n, l \ge n(i)$. Thus (1)(or (2)) holds for $\{f_n\}$ if and only if (1) (or (2)) holds for $\{g_l\}$. ☐

**Definition 5.2.** *Let $R$ be as in the statement of Lemma 5.1. Let*

$$p(\hat{R})_\infty = \\ \{f \in \hat{R} \mid (2) \text{ of Lemma 5.1 holds for a Cauchy sequence } \{f_n\} \text{ in } R \text{ which converges to } f\}$$

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let $R$ be as in the statement of Lemma 5.1. Then*

1. *$p(\hat{R})_\infty$ is a prime ideal of $\hat{R}$ such that $p(\hat{R})_\infty \cap R = (0)$.*
2. *There exists a unique extension $\overline{\nu}$ of $\nu$ to the quotient field $\overline{K}$ of $\hat{R}/p(\hat{R})_\infty$ which dominates $\hat{R}$. Let $\overline{V}$ be the valuation ring of $\overline{\nu}$. Then $(\overline{V}, \overline{K})$ is an immediate extension of $(V, K)$. That is, $\Gamma_{\overline{V}} = \Gamma_V$ and $k(V) = k(\overline{V})$.*

*Proof.* The facts that $p(\hat{R})_\infty$ is prime and $p(\hat{R})_\infty \cap R = (0)$ are immediate from Lemma 5.1. Suppose that $0 \ne f + p(\hat{R})_\infty \in \hat{R}/p(\hat{R})_\infty$. We can find a Cauchy sequence $\{f_n\}$ in $R$ such that $\{f_n\}$ satisfies (1) of Lemma 5.1 and $\{f_n\}$ converges to $f$. Let $\rho = \nu(f_n)$ for $n >> 0$. We necessarily have that $\overline{\nu}(g) = \rho$ if $g = f + p(\hat{R})_\infty$. ☐

By a classical abuse of notation, we will say that $\nu(f) = \infty$ if $f \in p(\hat{R})_\infty$.

## 6. SIMULTANEOUS RESOLUTION OF $p_\infty$

**Definition 6.1.** *Suppose that $R$ is a normal local ring which is essentially of finite type over a field $k$ of characteristic zero, with quotient field $K$. A normal uniformizing transformation sequence (NUTS) is a sequence of ring homomorphisms*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R \arrow[r] & \overline{T}''_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \overline{T}_0 \arrow[dr] & & & & & \\
& \overline{T}'_1 \arrow[r] & \overline{T}''_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \overline{T}_1 \arrow[dr] & & & & \\
& & \overline{T}'_2 \arrow[r] & \overline{T}''_2 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \overline{T}_2 \arrow[dr] & & & \\
& & & \vdots & \vdots & {} \arrow[d] & & \\
& & & & & \overline{T}'_n \arrow[r] & \overline{T}''_n \arrow[r] & \overline{T}_n
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2}$$

*such that $\overline{T}_0 = \hat{R}$, the completion of $R$ with respect to its maximal ideal, and for all $i$, $\overline{T}_i$ is the completion with respect to its maximal ideal of a birational extension $\overline{T}'_i$ of $\overline{T}''_{i-1}$. For all $i$, $\overline{T}'_i$ is a normal local ring, $\overline{T}''_i$ is a normal local ring, essentially of* *finite type over* $\overline{T}'_i$ *with quotient field* $K_i$ *such that* $\overline{T}'_i \subset \overline{T}''_i \subset \overline{T}_i$ *and* $K_0$ *is a finite extension of* $K$*,* $K_{i+1}$ *is a finite extension of* $K_i$ *for all* $i \geq 0$*.*

Definition 6.1 is the extension of the definition of a UTS in Chapter 3 of [6] to normal local rings.

To simplify notation, we will often denote the NUTS (2) by $(R, \overline{T}''_n, \overline{T}_n)$ or by

$$R \rightarrow \overline{T}_0 \rightarrow \overline{T}_1 \rightarrow \overline{T}_n.$$

We will denote the NUTS consisting of the maps

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{T}'_{n-1} \arrow[r] & \overline{T}''_{n-1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \overline{T}_{n-1} \arrow[dr] & \\
 & \overline{T}'_n \arrow[r] & \overline{T}''_n \arrow[r] & \overline{T}_n
\end{tikzcd}$$

by $\overline{T}_{n-1} \rightarrow \overline{T}_n$.

Suppose that $\nu$ is a rank 1 $k$-valuation of $K$, and $R$ is dominated by $\nu$. Suppose that $\overline{\nu}$ is an extension of $\nu$ to the quotient field of $\overline{T}_n$ which dominates $\overline{T}_n$. Then we will say that $\overline{T}_0 \rightarrow \overline{T}_n$ is a NUTS along $\nu$. When there is no danger of confusion, we will denote $\overline{\nu}$ by $\nu$.

We define

$$p(\overline{T}_n)_\infty = \{f \in \overline{T}_n \mid \overline{\nu}(f) = \infty\},$$

$$\lambda(\overline{T}''_n) = \lambda(\overline{T}_n) = \dim \overline{T}_n / p(\overline{T}_n)_\infty.$$

We define

$$\lambda(R) = \lambda(\overline{T}_0) = \dim \hat{R}/p(\hat{R})_\infty.$$

**Lemma 6.2.** *Suppose that*

$$\overline{T} \rightarrow \overline{T}(1) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \overline{T}(t)$$

*is a NUTS along* $\nu$*. Then* $\lambda(\overline{T}) \geq \lambda(\overline{T}(t))$*.*

Lemma 6.2 is the generalization of Lemma 6.3 of [8] to a NUTS. The proof is the same.

Let $V$ be the valuation ring (in $K$) of $\nu$ and let

$$\lambda_V = \min\,\{\lambda(R) \mid R \text{ is a normal algebraic local ring of } K \text{ which is dominated by } V\}.$$

**Theorem 6.3.** *There exists an algebraic regular local ring* $\overline{R}$ *of* $K$ *such that if* $(R_1, \overline{T}''(t), \overline{T}(t))$ *is a NUTS along* $\nu$ *with* $R_1$ *an algebraic normal local ring of* $K$ *such that* $R_1$ *dominates* $\overline{R}$*, then*

$$\lambda(\overline{T}(t)) = \lambda_V.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that $R$ is an algebraic normal local ring such that $\lambda(R) = \lambda_V$. Let $\overline{R}$ be an algebraic regular local ring of $K$ such that $\overline{R}$ dominates $R$ and $V$ dominates $\overline{R}$. $\lambda(\overline{R}) = \lambda_V$ by Lemma 6.2. Suppose that $(R_1, \overline{T}''(t), \overline{T}(t))$ is a NUTS along $\nu$ with, $R_1$ an algebraic normal local ring of $K$ such that $R_1$ dominates $\overline{R}$. We have $\lambda(R_1) = \lambda_V$ and $\lambda(\overline{T}(t)) \leq \lambda_V$ by Lemma 6.2. Let $L$ be the quotient field of $\overline{T}''(t)$. $L$ is a finite extension of $K$. By Theorem 4.2 [9] there exists an algebraic normal local ring $R_3$ of $K$ and an algebraic regular local ring $T_2$ of $L$ such that our extension of $V$ to $L$ dominates $T_2$, $T_2$ dominates $\overline{T}''(t)$, $T_2$ dominates $R_3$ and $R_3$ dominates $R_1$, with the property that $\hat{T}_2$ is finite over $\hat{R}_3$. Since $p(\hat{T}_2)_\infty \cap \hat{R}_3 = p(\hat{R}_3)_\infty$, we have that $\lambda(R_3) = \lambda(T_2)$. By Lemma 6.2,

$$\lambda_V = \lambda(R_1) \geq \lambda(\overline{T}''(t)) \geq \lambda(T_2) = \lambda(R_3) = \lambda_V.$$

□

**Theorem 6.4.** *Suppose that $K^*$ is a finite field extension of $K$ and $\nu^*$ is an extension of $\nu$ to $K^*$. Then $\lambda_{V^*} = \lambda_V$ and there exists an algebraic regular local ring $\tilde{R}$ of $K$ such that the conclusions of Theorem 6.3 hold with $\overline{R} = \tilde{R}$ and if $S$ is an algebraic normal local ring of $K^*$ which is dominated by $V^*$ and dominates $\tilde{R}$, and $(S, \overline{T}''(t), \overline{T}(t))$ is a NUTS along $\nu^*$, then $\lambda(\overline{T}(t)) = \lambda_V$.*

*Proof.* Let $\overline{R}$ be the regular local ring of the conclusions of Theorem 6.3. Let $S_1$ be a normal algebraic local ring of $K^*$ such that $V^*$ dominates $S_1$ and $\lambda(S_1) = \lambda_{V^*}$. Let $S_2$ be an algebraic regular local ring such that $V^*$ dominates $S_2$, $S_2$ dominates $S_1$ and $S_2$ dominates $\overline{R}$. By Lemma 6.2, $\lambda(S_2) = \lambda_{V^*}$. By Theorem 4.2 [9] there exists an algebraic normal local ring $R_1$ of $K$ and an algebraic regular local ring $S_3$ of $K^*$ such that $V^*$ dominates $S_3$, $S_3$ dominates $S_2$, $S_3$ dominates $R_1$ and $R_1$ dominates $\overline{R}$, with the property that $\hat{S}_3$ is finite over $\hat{R}_1$. Since $p(\hat{S}_3)_\infty \cap \hat{R}_1 = p(\hat{R}_1)_\infty$, $\lambda(R_1) = \lambda(S_3)$. By Theorem 6.3 and Lemma 6.2,

$$\lambda_V = \lambda(R_1) = \lambda(S_3) = \lambda(S_2) = \lambda_{V^*}.$$

By Lemma 5.3 [9] there exists an algebraic regular local ring $\tilde{R}$ of $K$ such that $V$ dominates $\tilde{R}$, $\tilde{R}$ dominates $\overline{R}$ and if $S$ is an algebraic normal local ring of $K^*$ which is dominated by $V^*$ and which contains $\tilde{R}$, then $S$ dominates $S_2$.

By Theorem 6.3 applied to $S \subset K^*$ which dominates $S_2$, the conclusions of Theorem 6.4 hold. □

We now state a generalization of Theorem 5.1 [6] which resolves the prime ideal of infinite value terms.

**Theorem 6.5.** *Let $k$ be a field of characteristic zero, $K$ an algebraic function field, $K^*$ a finite algebraic extension of $K$, $\nu^*$ a $k$-valuation of $K^*$, $\nu = \nu^* \mid K$, such that rank $\nu = 1$, rat rank $\nu = s$ and*

$$s \le \lambda = \lambda_V \le n,$$

*where $V$ is the valuation ring of $\nu$ and $n = trdeg_k K - trdeg_k V/m_V$. Suppose that $S^*$ is an algebraic local ring with quotient field $K^*$ which is dominated by $\nu^*$ and $R^*$ is an algebraic local ring with quotient field $K$ which is dominated by $S^*$. Let $V^*$ be the valuation ring of $\nu^*$. Then there exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R_0 \arrow[r] & S \arrow[r, phantom, "\subset"] & V^* \\
R^* \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & S^* \arrow[u] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

*where $S^* \to S$ and $R^* \to R_0$ are sequences of monoidal transforms along $\nu^*$ such that $R_0$ has regular parameters $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and $S$ has regular parameters $(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ such that there are units $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_s \in S$ and a $s \times s$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ of natural numbers such that $det(A) \ne 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
x_1 &= y_1^{a_{11}} \cdots y_s^{a_{1s}} \delta_1 \\
&\vdots \\
x_s &= y_1^{a_{s1}} \cdots y_s^{a_{ss}} \delta_s \\
x_{s+1} &= y_{s+1} \\
&\vdots \\
x_n &= y_n
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

*and $\{\nu(x_1), \ldots, \nu(x_s)\}$, $\{\nu(y_1), \ldots, \nu(y_s)\}$ are rational bases of $\Gamma_\nu \otimes \mathbf{Q} = \Gamma_{\nu^*} \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. Furthermore,*

$$p(\hat{R}_0)_\infty = (g_1, \ldots, g_{n-\lambda})$$

*with*

$$g_i \equiv x_{s+i} \ mod \ m(\hat{R}_0)^2$$

*for* $1 \le i \le n - \lambda$, *and*

$$p(\hat{S})_\infty = p(\hat{R}_0)_\infty \hat{S}$$

*are regular primes.*

**Remark 6.6.** *Suppose that in the hypothesis of Theorem 6.5 we further assume that* $R^* \to S^*$ *is such that* $R^*$ *and* $S^*$ *have regular parameters* $(x_1^*, \ldots, x_n^*)$, $(y_1^*, \ldots, y_n^*)$ *satisfying* (3) *and such that* $\{\nu(x_1^*), \ldots, \nu(x_n^*)\}$, $\{\nu(y_1^*), \ldots, \nu(y_n^*)\}$ *are rational bases of* $\Gamma_\nu \otimes \mathbf{Q} = \Gamma_{\nu^*} \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. *Then in the conclusions of Theorem 6.5 we further have that there exist* $b_j(i) \in \mathbf{N}$, *units* $\alpha_i \in R_0$ *such that*

$$x_i^* = x_1^{b_1(j)} \cdots x_s^{b_s(j)} \alpha_i$$

*for* $1 \le i \le s$ *and there exist* $c_j(i) \in \mathbf{N}$, *units* $\beta_i \in S$ *such that*

$$y_i^* = y_1^{c_1(j)} \cdots y_s^{c_s(j)} \beta_i$$

*for* $1 \le i \le s$.

*This follows since all transformations in the proof of Theorem 6.5 are "CUTS in the first* $n$ *variables"* (*page* 49 [6]).

*Proof.* (of Theorem 6.5). Let $\tilde{R}$ be the regular local ring of the conclusions of Theorem 6.4. We first construct a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R_1 \arrow[r] & S_1 \subset V^* \\
R^* \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & S^* \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that the conclusions of Theorem 5.1 [6] hold, and $R_1$ dominates $\tilde{R}$. Let $R = R_1$, $\overline{T}'' = R_1$ and $\overline{T} = \hat{R}_1$. We will now show that we can construct a CUTS $\overline{T} \to \overline{T}(t)$ along $\nu$, which is in the first $n$ variables (with the notation of Theorem 4.7 of [6]), such that $p(\overline{T}(t))_\infty$ has the form of (53) of page 49 of [6],

$$p(\overline{T}(t))_\infty = (\overline{z}_{r(1)}(t) - Q_{r(1)}(\overline{z}_1(t), \ldots, \overline{z}_{r(1)-1}(t)), \ldots, \overline{z}_{r(n-\lambda)}(t) - Q_{r(n-\lambda)}(\overline{z}_1(t), \ldots, \overline{z}_{r(n-\lambda)-1}(t))) \tag{4}$$

with $s < r(1) < r(2) < \cdots < r(n-\lambda) \le n$ and such that for $1 \le i \le n - \lambda$,

$$Q_{r(i)} = \overline{z}_1(t)^{a_1(r(i))} \cdots \overline{z}_s(t)^{a_s(r(i))} u_{r(i)}$$

where $u_{r(i)}$ is a unit series in $\overline{z}_1(t), \ldots, \overline{z}_{r(i)}(t)$ with coefficients in $k(c_0, \ldots, c_t)$ (with the notation of (53) of page 49 of [6]).

The construction of $\overline{T} \to \overline{T}(t)$ follows from the proof of (53) of [6], with the insertion of the following at the bottom of page 54. "Since $\nu(Q_{\overline{m}}) = \nu(\overline{z}_{\overline{m}}(t)) < \infty$ we can perform by (54) [6] a UTS in the first $\overline{m} - 1$ variables to get $Q_{\overline{m}} = \overline{z}_1(t')^{a_1} \cdots \overline{z}_s(t')^{a_s} u_{\overline{m}}$ where $u_{\overline{m}} \in k(c_0, \ldots, c_{t'})[[\overline{z}_1(t'), \ldots, \overline{z}_{\overline{m}-1}(t')]]$ is a unit series".

Set $S = S_1$, $\overline{U}'' = S_1$, $\overline{U} = \hat{S}$. We can now construct a CUTS $\overline{U} \to \overline{U}(t')$ so that $(R_1, \overline{T}''(t'), \overline{T}(t'))$ and $(S_1, \overline{U}''(t'), \overline{U}(t'))$ is a CUTS along $\nu^*$, by Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4 [6].

Set $\tilde{g}_j(\overline{z}_1(t'), \ldots, \overline{z}_{r(j)}(t')) = \overline{z}_{r(j)}(t') - Q_{r(j)}(\overline{z}_1(t'), \ldots, \overline{z}_{r(j)-1}(t'))$ for $1 \le j \le n - \lambda$.

We will now show that the strict transform of $p(\hat{R}_1)_\infty$ in $\overline{T}(t')$ is $p(\overline{T}(t'))_\infty$. It suffices to show that the strict transform of $p(\hat{R}_1)_\infty$ in $\overline{T}(1)$ is $p(\overline{T}(1))_\infty$. Then the result follows by induction on $t'$. Let $p = p(\hat{R}_1)_\infty$. There exists an ideal $I$ in $R_1$, $f \in I$, and a maximal ideal $n$ in $\hat{R}_1[\frac{I}{f}]$ such that $\overline{T}(1) = \widehat{R_1[\frac{I}{f}]_n}$. Let

$$\overline{p} = \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( p\hat{R}_1[\frac{I}{f}]_n : I^j \hat{R}_1[\frac{I}{f}]_n \right)$$

be the strict transform of $p$ in $\hat{R}_1[\frac{I}{f}]_n$. $\overline{p} \neq \hat{R}_1[\frac{I}{f}]_n$ since the strict transform in $\hat{R}_1[\frac{I}{f}]_n$ of an element of infinite value must have infinite value. $\overline{p}$ is a prime ideal in $\hat{R}_1[\frac{I}{f}]_n$, and

$$\hat{R}_1/p \rightarrow \hat{R}_1[\frac{I}{f}]_n/\overline{p}$$

is birational (Section 0.2 [13], Corollary II.7.15 [12]). Thus $\dim \overline{T}(1)/\overline{p}\overline{T}(1) = \lambda$. $\overline{p}\overline{T}(1)$ is a prime contained in $p(\overline{T}(1))_\infty$ and $\dim \overline{T}(1)/p(\overline{T}(1))_\infty = \lambda$ by Theorem 6.4 (since $R_1$ contains $\tilde{R}$). Thus $\overline{p}\overline{T}(1) = p(\overline{T}(1))_\infty$.

Hence there exist $f_1, \ldots, f_{n-\lambda} \in p(\hat{R}_1)_\infty$, $c_1(i), \ldots, c_s(i) \in \mathbf{N}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-\lambda$ and $b_{ij} \in \overline{T}(t')$ such that $\det(b_{ij})$ is a unit in $\overline{T}(t')$, $f_i = M_i(\sum_{j=1}^{n-\lambda} b_{ij}\tilde{g}_j)$ where $M_i = \overline{z}_1(t')^{c_1(i)} \cdots \overline{z}_s(t')^{c_s(i)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-\lambda$ and

$$p(\overline{T}(t'))_\infty = \left( \frac{f_1}{M_1}, \ldots, \frac{f_{n-\lambda}}{M_{n-\lambda}} \right).$$

Let $m$ be a positive integer such that

$$m > \frac{(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n-\lambda} \nu(\overline{z}_{r(j)}(t'))) + (\max_{1 \leq i \leq n-\lambda} \nu(M_i))}{\nu(m(\overline{T}(t')))}. \tag{5}$$

By Theorem 4.8 [6] (with $l = n$) there exists a CRUTS along $\nu$, $(R_1, R_1, T(t'))$ and $(S_1, S_1, U(t'))$ with associated MTSs

$$\begin{tikzcd} S \arrow[r] & S(t') \\ R \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & R(t') \arrow[u] \end{tikzcd}$$

such that (with the notation of Theorem 4.8 [6])

$$f_i = \overline{M}_i(\sum_{j=1}^{n-\lambda} b_{ij}(\tilde{\overline{x}}_1(t'), \ldots, \tilde{\overline{x}}_n(t'))\tilde{g}_j(\tilde{\overline{x}}_1(t'), \ldots, \tilde{\overline{x}}_{r(j)}(t')) + h_i \in p(\hat{R}(t'))_\infty$$

with $\overline{M}_i = \tilde{\overline{x}}_1(t')^{c_1(i)} \cdots \tilde{\overline{x}}(t')^{c_s(i)}$, $h_i \in m(T(t'))^m$ (where $m$ is the integer of (5)) for $1 \leq i \leq n-\lambda$, and such that (by (A3) of page 83 of [6]) $\nu(\overline{z}_i(t')) = \nu(\tilde{\overline{x}}_i(t'))$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\overline{z}_{r(i)}(t')) \quad &= \nu(Q_{r(i)}(\overline{z}_1(t'), \ldots, \overline{z}_{r(i)-1}(t'))) \\ &= \nu(Q_{r(i)}(\tilde{\overline{x}}_1(t'), \ldots, \tilde{\overline{x}}_{r(i)-1}(t'))) \\ &= \nu(\tilde{\overline{x}}_{r(i)}(t')) \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n-\lambda$.

Now we perform the MTS

$$\begin{tikzcd} S(t') \arrow[r] & S(t'') \\ R(t') \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & R(t'') \arrow[u] \end{tikzcd}$$

of the proof of Theorem 4.9 [6] (with $l = n$). Because of the form of the $\tilde{g}_j$, we have for $1 \leq j \leq n-\lambda$,

$$\tilde{g}_j = \overline{x}_1(t'')^{b_1(j)} \cdots \overline{x}_s(t'')^{b_s(j)} \overline{g}_j$$

where

$$\overline{g}_j \equiv \tilde{d}_j \overline{x}_{r(j)}(t'') \text{ mod } (\overline{x}_1(t''), \ldots, \overline{x}_{r(j)-1}(t''))\hat{R}(t'') + m(\hat{R}(t''))^2$$

for some nonzero $\tilde{d}_j \in R(t'')/m(R(t''))$, where

$$\nu(\overline{x}_1(t'')^{b_1(j)} \cdots \overline{x}_s(t'')^{b_s(j)}) = \nu(\tilde{\overline{x}}_{r(j)}(t')) = \nu(\overline{z}_{r(j)}(t')). \tag{6}$$

We further have

$$\overline{h}_i = \frac{h_i}{\overline{\overline{M}}_i} = \overline{x}_1(t'')^{e_1(i)} \cdots \overline{x}_s(t'')^{e_s(i)} \tilde{h}_i$$

for $1 \le i \le n - \lambda$ with $\tilde{h}_i \in \hat{R}(t')$ and

$$\nu(\overline{x}_1(t'')^{e_1(i)} \cdots \overline{x}_s(t'')^{e_s(i)}) > \ \max \nu(\overline{z}_{r(j)}(t)) \tag{7}$$

for $1 \le j \le n - \lambda$ by (5). By Lemma 4.2 [6], (6) and (7), we can further choose the final CUTS of type (M1) (on the top of page 89 of [6]) so that

$$e_j(i) > \ \max\nolimits_{1 \le \alpha \le n-\lambda} b_j(\alpha)$$

for $1 \le j \le s$ and $1 \le i \le n - \lambda$.

Let $A = (b_{ij})^{-1}$, a matrix with coefficients in $\hat{R}(t'')$.

$$A \begin{pmatrix} \frac{f_1}{\overline{\overline{M}}_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{f_{n-\lambda}}{\overline{\overline{M}}_{n-\lambda}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{g}_1 + d_1 \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{g}_{n-\lambda} + d_{n-\lambda} \end{pmatrix}$$

where for $1 \le i \le n - \lambda$,

$$d_i = \overline{x}_1(t'')^{b_1(i)+1} \cdots \overline{x}_s(t'')^{b_s(i)+1} \overline{d}_i$$

for some $\overline{d}_i \in \hat{R}(t'')$. Thus

$$g_i = \overline{g}_i + \overline{d}_i \in p(\hat{R}(t''))_\infty$$

and

$$g_i \equiv \tilde{d}_i \overline{x}_{r(i)}(t'') \text{ mod } (\overline{x}_1(t''), \ldots, \overline{x}_{r(i)-1}(t''))\hat{R}(t'') + m(\hat{R}(t''))^2.$$

Thus $(g_1, \ldots, g_{n-\lambda})$ is a complete intersection and a regular prime ideal in $\hat{R}(t'')$. Since $\lambda(\hat{R}(t'')) = \lambda$ (by Theorem 6.3), we have that $(g_1, \ldots, g_{n-\lambda})$ is a basis of $p(\hat{R}(t''))_\infty$. Since $(g_1, \ldots, g_{n-\lambda})\hat{S}(t'')$ is a prime ideal and $\lambda(S(t'')) = \lambda$ (by Theorem 6.4), it follows that $p(\hat{R}(t''))_\infty \hat{S}(t'') = p(\hat{S}(t''))_\infty$.

We can now make a change of variables in the regular parameters $(x_1(t''), \ldots, x_n(t''))$ and $(y_1(t''), \ldots, y_n(t''))$ of the proof of Theorem 4.9 [6] to get the desired forms of the $g_i$.

$\{\nu(x_1), \ldots, \nu(x_s)\}$ and $\{\nu^*(y_1), \ldots, \nu^*(y_s)\}$ are rational bases of $\Gamma^* \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ by the construction of the sequence $R^* \to R_0$ and $S^* \to S$. ☐

## 7. Rank 1 valuations

Let notations be as in Section 4. Further assume that $V$ has rank 1. Consider our directed set $\{R_i \mid i \in I\}$ satisfying (a), (b), (c) and (d). For $i \in I$, we define

$$p_i = p(\hat{R}_i)_\infty = \{f \in \hat{R}_i \mid \nu(f) = \infty\}.$$

For $i < j$, the natural inclusions $\hat{R}_i \to \hat{R}_j$ induce inclusions $\hat{R}_i/p_i \to \hat{R}_j/p_j$. Thus $\{\hat{R}_i/p_i \mid i \in I\}$ is a directed system, and we have a local domain

$$\overline{T} = \lim_{\rightarrow} \hat{R}_i/p_i = \cup \hat{R}_i/p_i.$$

Let $\overline{K}_\infty$ be the quotient field of $\overline{T}$.

**Lemma 7.1.** *Suppose that* $V$ *has rank 1. Then the ring* $\overline{T} = \cup_{i\in I}\hat{R}_i/p_i$ *does not depend on the directed system of rings* $\{R_i \mid i \in I\}$ *satisfying (a), (b), (c) and (d).*

*Proof.* The proof is essentially the same as that of Lemma 4.1. We must observe that the inclusion $\hat{R}_i \rightarrow \hat{S}_j$ of the proof of Lemma 4.1 induces a natural inclusion $\hat{R}_i/p_i \rightarrow \hat{S}_j/q_j$, where $q_j = \{f \in \hat{S}_j \mid \nu(f) = \infty\}$. ☐

Theorem 7.2 is a generalization of Zariski's local uniformization theorem [23]. Our proof is an extension in rank 1 of the proof for general rank in [9, 6.2]. We incorporate the conclusions of Theorem 6.5 which resolves the prime ideal of infinite value terms.

**Theorem 7.2.** *Let* $k$ *be a field of characteristic zero,* $K$ *an algebraic function field over* $k$*, and let* $\nu$ *be a rank 1* $k$*-valuation of* $K$*, of rational rank* $s$*, with valuation ring* $V$*. Let*

$$n = \text{trdeg}_k K - \text{trdeg}_k V/m_V,$$

$\lambda = \lambda_V$ *(defined before Theorem 6.3).*

*Then there exists a partially ordered set* $I$ *and algebraic regular local rings* $\{R_i \mid i \in I\}$ *with quotient field* $K$ *which are dominated by* $V$ *such that*

$$V = \lim_{\rightarrow} R_j = \cup_{j\in I} R_j$$

*and* $R_j$ *has regular parameters* $(x_1(j), \ldots, x_n(j))$ *such that*

(1)
$$\{\nu(x_1(j)), \ldots, \nu(x_s(j))\}$$
*is a rational basis of* $\Gamma \otimes \mathbf{Q}$.

(2) *If* $j < k \in I$ *then there are relations*
$$x_i(j) = \prod_{l=1}^{s} x_l(k)^{d_{il}} \delta_i \tag{8}$$
*for* $1 \le i \le s$ *where* $\delta_i \in R_k$ *are units. The* $s \times s$ *matrix* $D(j,k) = (d_{il})$ *of (8) has nonzero determinant.*

(3) *The prime ideal*
$$p_j = p(\hat{R}_j)_\infty = \{f \in \hat{R}_j \mid \nu(f) = \infty\} = (g_1(j), \ldots, g_{n-\lambda}(j))$$
*with*
$$g_i(j) \equiv x_{s+i}(j) \text{ mod } m(\hat{R}_j)^2.$$
*In particular,* $p_j$ *is a regular prime.*

(4) *For* $j \in I$*, let* $\Lambda_j$ *be the free* $\mathbf{Z}$*-module* $\Lambda_j = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \nu(x_i(j))\mathbf{Z}$*. Then*
$$\Gamma = \lim_{\rightarrow} \Lambda_j = \cup_{j\in I}\Lambda_j.$$

*Proof.* Let $R^*$ be an algebraic regular local ring such that $V$ dominates $R^*$. By Theorem 6.5 (with $K = K^*$ and $R^* = S^*$), there exists a sequence of monoidal transforms $R^* \rightarrow R_0$ along $V$ such that (1) and (3) of this theorem hold on $R_0$.

Suppose that $m$ is a positive integer and $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_m) \in V^m$. We will construct a sequence of monoidal transforms $R_0 \rightarrow R_f$ along $V$ such that $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in R_f$, (1) and (3) of this theorem hold for $R_f$ and (2) of this theorem holds for $R_0 \rightarrow R_f$. We will further have $\nu(f_1), \ldots, \nu(f_m) \in \Lambda_f$.

By Theorem 4.9 [9] with the $R^*$, $S^*$ of the statement of Theorem 4.9 set as $R^* = S^* = R_0$, and $v_i = x_i(0)$ if $1 \le i \le s$, and $v_{s+1} = f_1, \ldots, v_{s+m} = f_m$, there exists a sequence of monoidal transforms $R_0 \rightarrow R_1$ along $V$ such that (1) of this theorem holds for $R_1$, (2) of this theorem holds for $R_0 \rightarrow R_1$, $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in R_1$ and $\nu(x_1), \ldots, \nu(x_s) \in \Lambda_1$. By Theorem 6.5 (with $K = K^*$, $R^* = S^*$) and Remark 6.6, there exists a sequence of monoidal transforms $R_1 \to R_f$ along $V$ such that (1), (2) and (3) of this theorem hold for $R_0 \to R_f$ and $\nu(f_1), \ldots, \nu(f_m) \in \Lambda_f$. We have $\det D(0,f) \neq 0$ since $\{\nu(x_i(0)) \mid 1 \le i \le s\}$ and $\{\nu(x_i(f)) \mid 1 \le i \le s\}$ are two bases of $\Gamma \otimes \mathbf{Q}$.

Let $I = \sqcup_{m \in \mathbf{N}_+} V^m$ be the disjoint union. For $f \in I$ we construct $R_f$ as above. If $f = 0$ we let $R_0$ be the $R_0$ constructed above. Define a partial order on $I$ by $f \le g$ if $R_f \subset R_g$.

Suppose that $R_\alpha \subset R_\beta$. We have $R_0 \subset R_\alpha \subset R_\beta$.

$$x_i(0) = \prod_{j=1}^{s} x_j(\alpha)^{c_{ij}} \delta_i$$

for $1 \le i \le s$ with $\delta_i$ a unit in $R_\alpha$ and

$$x_i(0) = \prod_{j=1}^{s} x_j(\beta)^{d_{ij}} \epsilon_i$$

for $1 \le i \le s$ with $\epsilon_i$ a unit in $R_\beta$. Thus in $R_\beta$ there are factorizations

$$x_i(\alpha) = \prod_{j=1}^{s} x_j(\beta)^{e_{ij}} \lambda_i$$

for $1 \le i \le s$ and $\lambda_i$ a unit in $R_\beta$. We have $\det(D(\alpha,\beta)) \neq 0$ since (1) holds for $R_\alpha$ and $R_\beta$. Thus (2) holds for $R_\alpha \to R_\beta$. To show that $V = \lim_{\to} R_j$, we must verify that $I$ is a directed set. That is, for $\alpha, \beta \in I$, there exists $\gamma \in I$ such that $R_\alpha \subset R_\gamma$ and $R_\beta \subset R_\gamma$.

There exists $f_1, \ldots, f_t \in V$ such that if $A = k[f_1, \ldots, f_t]$, $m = A \cap m_V$ then $R_\alpha = A_m$. There exists $g_1, \ldots, g_n \in V$ such that if $B = k[g_1, \ldots, g_n]$, $n = B \cap m_V$ then $R_\beta = B_n$. Set $\gamma = (f_1, \ldots, f_t, g_1, \ldots, g_n)$. By construction, $A, B \subset R_\gamma$. Since $m_V \cap R_\gamma = m_\gamma$ is the maximal ideal of $R_\gamma$, we have $R_\alpha$, $R_\beta \subset R_\gamma$.

(4) holds by our construction, since $\nu(f) \in \Lambda_f$ if $f \in V$. ☐

**Theorem 7.3.** *Suppose that $V$ has rank 1 and $k$ has characteristic zero. Then the ring $\overline{T} = \cup_{i \in I}(\hat{R}_i/p_i)$ is a valuation ring.*

*Proof.* Let $s$ denote the rational rank of $\nu$. By Lemma 7.1 we can assume that the rings $R_i$'s are as in Theorem 7.2. $R_i$ has regular parameters $x_1(i), \ldots, x_n(i)$ and $\hat{R}_i = R_i/m_{R_i}[[x_1(i), \ldots, x_n(i)]]$.

Let $f \in \hat{R}_i$. We recall that if $\nu(f) < \infty$, then by Theorem 4.8 and Theorem 4.10 [6] after a MTS $R_i \to \overline{R}(1)$ along $\nu$

$$f = \overline{x}_1(1)^{d_1} \cdots \overline{x}_s(1)^{d_s} u(\overline{x}_1(1), \ldots, \overline{x}_n(1))$$

where $\overline{x}(1), \ldots, \overline{x}_n(1)$ are regular parameters in the ring $\overline{R}(1)$, $\nu(\overline{x}(1)), \ldots, \nu(\overline{x}_s(1))$ are rationally independent and $u \in \widehat{\overline{R}(1)}$ is a unit power series. Further, there exist units $\alpha_j \in \overline{R}(1)$ such that

$$x_j(i) = \overline{x}_1(1)^{\beta_1(j)} \cdots \overline{x}_s(1)^{\beta_s(j)} \alpha_j$$

for $1 \le j \le s$.

Let $h \in Q(\overline{T})$. We want to show that either $h \in \overline{T}$, or $1/h \in \overline{T}$. So it suffices to show that if $\nu(h) \ge 0$, then $h \in \overline{T}$. Write $h = a/b$ where $a \in \cup_{i \in I}\hat{R}_i/p_i$ and $0 \neq b \in \cup_{i \in I}\hat{R}_i/p_i$. Then $a \in \hat{R}_j/p_j$ for some $j \in I$, and $b \in \hat{R}_k/p_k$ for some $k \in I$. After a MTS $R_j \to \overline{R}(1)$ along $\nu$ we have

$$a = \overline{x}_1(1)^{d_1} \cdots \overline{x}_s(1)^{d_s} u(\overline{x}_1(1), \ldots, \overline{x}_n(1))$$

where $\overline{x}_1(1),\ldots,\overline{x}_n(1)$ are regular parameters in the ring $\overline{R}(1)$ and $u\in\widehat{\overline{R}(1)}$ is a unit. Further, there exist units $\alpha_j\in\overline{R}(1)$ such that

$$x_j(i)=\overline{x}_1(1)^{\beta_1(j)}\cdots\overline{x}_s(1)^{\beta_s(j)}\alpha_j \tag{9}$$

for $1\le j\le s$.

After another MTS $R_k\to\overline{R}(2)$ along $\nu$ we have

$$b=\overline{x}_1(2)^{e_1}\cdots\overline{x}_s(2)^{e_s}u'(\overline{x}_1(2),\ldots,\overline{x}_n(2))$$

where $\overline{x}_1(2),\ldots,\overline{x}_n(2)$ are regular parameters in the ring $\overline{R}(2)$ and $u'\in\widehat{\overline{R}(2)}$ is a unit. Further, there exist units $\gamma_j\in\overline{R}(2)$ such that

$$x_j(k)=\overline{x}_1(2)^{\delta_1(j)}\cdots\overline{x}_s(2)^{\delta_s(j)}\gamma_j \tag{10}$$

for $1\le j\le s$.

We have that $\overline{R}(1)=k[f_1,\ldots,f_m]_{m_V\cap k[f_1,\ldots,f_m]}$ for some $f_1,\ldots,f_m\in V$, and $\overline{R}(2)=k[g_1,\ldots,g_n]_{m_V\cap k[g_1,\ldots,g_n]}$ for some $g_1,\ldots,g_n\in V$.

Let $c=(f_1,\ldots,f_m,g_1,\ldots,g_n)\in V^{m+n}$. Let $R_c$ be constructed as in the proof of Theorem 7.2. Then $R_c\in\cup_{i\in I}R_i$ and $\overline{R}(1)\subset R_c$, $\overline{R}(2)\subset R_c$. The ring $R_c$ has regular parameters $x_1(c),\ldots,x_n(c)$ and by (2) of Theorem 7.2 and (9) and (10) the "good form" of $a$ and $b$ is preserved in $R_c$:

$$a=x_1(c)^{a_1}\ldots x_s(c)^{a_s}\bar{u}(x_1(c),\ldots,x_n(c))$$

$$b=x_1(c)^{b_1}\ldots x_s(c)^{b_s}\bar{u}'(x_1(c),\ldots,x_n(c))$$

where $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{u}'$ are units in $\hat{R}_c$.

Let $g=x_1(c)^{a_1}\ldots x_s(c)^{a_s}/x_1(c)^{b_1}\ldots x_s(c)^{b_s}$. Since $g\in K=Q(R_c)$ and $\nu(g)=\nu(h)\ge 0$, we have that $g\in V$ and $g\in R_g$, which is in the directed system $I$. We have $g=x_1(g)^{f_1}\ldots x_s(g)^{f_s}w(x_1(g),\ldots x_n(g))$ with $f_i\ge 0$ for every $i$ and $w$ a unit in $R_g$. There exists $\gamma\in I$ such that $R_c\subset R_\gamma$ and $R_g\subset R_\gamma$. Then

$$h=x_1(\gamma)^{t_1}\ldots x_s(\gamma)^{t_s}\bar{w}(x_1(\gamma),\ldots,x_n(\gamma)),$$

with $t_i\ge 0$ for every $i$ and $\bar{w}$ a unit in $\widehat{R}_\gamma$. Hence $h\in\widehat{R}_\gamma$ and so $h\in\overline{T}$. □

**Theorem 7.4.** *Suppose that $V$ has rank 1 and $k$ has characteristic zero. Then*

1. *$(\overline{T},Q(\overline{T}))$ is an Henselian immediate extension of $(V,K)$.*
2. *There exists a directed system of regular local rings $\{R_i\}$ satisfying (a), (b), (c), and (d) such that each $\hat{R}_i/p_i$ is a regular local ring, and $\overline{T}=\cup\hat{R}_i/p_i$.*

*Proof.* Let $\overline{K}_i$ be the quotient field of $\hat{R}_i/p_i$. Then for all $i\in I$, $(\overline{T}\cap\overline{K}_i,\overline{K}_i)$ is an immediate extension of $(V,K)$ by Lemma 5.3. Thus $(\overline{T},Q(\overline{T}))$ is an immediate extension of $(V,K)$.

By an extension of Proposition 4.2, $\overline{T}$ is Henselian.

Statement (2) follows from the construction of Theorem 7.2. □

Suppose that $W$ is a rank 1 valuation ring, with valuation $\omega$. Let $\phi(x)=e^{-\omega(x)}$ for $x\in L=Q(W)$. A sequence $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ of elements of $L$ is $\phi$-Cauchy if given $\epsilon>0$, there exists $n_0$ such that $\phi(x_n-x_m)<\epsilon$ for all $m,n\ge n_0$ (Section 2, [10]). $W$ is said to be complete if all $\phi$-Cauchy sequences $(x_i)$ converge to an $x\in L$.

**Example 7.5.** *Even if $V$ has rank 1 and $T=\overline{T}$ is a valuation ring, (which necessarily has residue field $k(T)=k(V)$ and value group $\Gamma_V=\Gamma_T$), $(T,K_\infty)$ is not in general complete. In particular, it is not a maximal immediate extension (in the sense of Krull [18] and Kaplansky [16]).*

*Proof.* Let $K = k(x,y)$ be a rational function field in two variables over a field $k$ of characteristic zero. Let $R = k[x,y]_{(x,y)}$. Let $\nu$ be the rank one valuation of $K$ with nondiscrete value group which we can take to be $\mathbf{Q}$ and residue field $k$ which dominates $R$ constructed in Example 3, page 102 of [24]. Let

$$R \rightarrow R_1 \rightarrow R_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow R_i \rightarrow \cdots$$

be the system of regular local rings for $i \in \mathbf{N}$ of the construction such that $\cup R_i = V$ is the valuation ring of $\nu$.

We will first establish that $T = \cup \hat{R}_i$ is a valuation ring with residue field $k(V)$ and value group $\mathbf{Q}$. For any fixed $i$, let $q_i = \{f \in R_i \mid \nu(f) = \infty\}$. By Lemma 5.3 $\nu$ extends uniquely to a valuation of $Q(\hat{R}_i/q_i)$ which dominates $\hat{R}_i/q_i$ and has residue field $k$ and value group $\mathbf{Q}$. If $q_i \neq (0)$, then $\hat{R}_i/q_i$ is a 1 dimensional excellent local ring, so the only valuation rings of $Q(\hat{R}_i/q_i)$ which dominate $\hat{R}_i/q_i$ are discrete, which is a contradiction. Thus $q_i = 0$. By Theorems 7.3 and 7.4, $T = \overline{T}$ is a valuation ring with value group $\mathbf{Q}$ and residue field $k$.

In each regular local ring $\hat{R}_i$ there is the sequence of all valuation ideals

$$\cdots \subset I_n(i) \subset \cdots \subset I_1(i) \subset I_0(i) = m(\hat{R}_i) \subset \hat{R}_i.$$

Let $p_j(i) = \nu(I_j(i))$. For fixed $j$, $lim_{j\rightarrow\infty} p_j(i) = \infty$ (c.f. Lemma 2.3 [6]). Notice that $\cup_{i\in\mathbf{N}} \cup_{j\in\mathbf{N}} \{p_j(i)\} = \mathbf{Q}_+$, but arbitrarily large elements of $\mathbf{Q}_+$ are not in $\cup_{j\in\mathbf{N}}\{p_j(i)\}$ for a fixed $i$ since $\cup_{j\in\mathbf{N}}\{p_j(i)\}$ is not discrete.

We can inductively construct for all $i \in \mathbf{N}$, $\sigma(i), \lambda(i) \in \mathbf{N}$ and $a_i \in I_{\lambda(i)}(\sigma(i))$ such that $i < \sigma(i)$, $\sigma(i-1) < \sigma(i)$, $\nu(a_i) = p_{\lambda(i)}(\sigma(i))$,

$$\nu(a_i) > \max\{p_{\lambda(0)}(\sigma(0)), \ldots, p_{\lambda(i-1)}(\sigma(i-1)), i\},$$

and $p_{\lambda(i)}(\sigma(i)) \notin \cup_{k<\sigma(i)} \cup_{j\in\mathbf{N}} \{p_j(k)\}$ for every $i$.

For $i \in \mathbf{N}$, set $\alpha_i = a_1 + \cdots + a_i$. For $i < j$, we have

$$\nu(\alpha_j - \alpha_i) = \nu(a_{i+1}) > i.$$

Thus $\{\alpha_i\}$ is a $\phi$-Cauchy sequence. Suppose that there exists a limit $\tau \in K_\infty$ of $\{\alpha_i\}$. Then

$$\nu(\tau - \alpha_i) = p_{\lambda(i+1)}(\sigma(i+1)) \tag{11}$$

for all $i$, by the definition of a limit. We have $\tau \in T$ so that $\tau \in \hat{R}_{\sigma(i)}$ for some $i$. Thus $\tau - \alpha_i \in \hat{R}_{\sigma(i)}$. But by (11) we have that $\nu(\tau - \alpha_i)$ is not the value of an element of $\hat{R}_{\sigma(i)}$, a contradiction. ☐

## 8. Ramification of completions of rank 1 valuation rings

Theorem 8.1 is a generalization of Theorem 6.3 [9], which resolves the prime ideal of infinite value terms.

**Theorem 8.1.** *Let $k$ be a field of characteristic zero, $K$ an algebraic function field over $k$, $K^*$ a finite algebraic extension of $K$, $V^*$ a rank 1 $k$-valuation ring of $K^*$ of rational rank $s$, $V = V^* \cap K$. Let*

$$e = [\Gamma^* : \Gamma]$$

*be the ramification index of $V^*$ relative to $V$,*

$$f = [V^*/m_{V^*} : V/m_V]$$

*be the residue degree of* $V^*$ *relative to* $V$, *and let* $\tau$ *be a primitive element of* $V^*/m_{V^*}$ *over* $V/m_V$. *Let*

$$n = trdeg_k K^* - trdeg_k V^*/m_{V^*} = trdeg_k K - trdeg_k V/m_V,$$

$\lambda = \lambda_V = \lambda_{V^*}$ *(as shown in Theorem 6.4).*

*Then there exists a partially ordered set* $I$ *and algebraic regular local rings* $\{S_i \mid i \in I\}$ *with quotient field* $K^*$ *which are dominated by* $V^*$ *where* $S_j$ *has regular parameters* $(y_1(j), \ldots, y_n(j))$ *such that*

(1)

$$\{\nu^*(y_1(j)), \ldots, \nu^*(y_s(j))\}$$

*is a rational basis of* $\Gamma^* \otimes \mathbf{Q}$.

(2) *For all* $k \in I$ *there exist algebraic regular local rings* $R_0(k)$ *with quotient field* $K$ *which are dominated by* $V$ *such that there exist factorizations*

$$R_0(k) \rightarrow R_k \rightarrow S_k$$

*so that there are regular parameters* $(x_1(k), ...., x_n(k))$ *in* $R_0(k)$, *units* $\delta_1(k), \ldots, \delta_s(k) \in S_k$ *and a* $s \times s$ *matrix* $A(k) = (a_{ij}(k))$ *of nonnegative integers such that* $det(A(k)) \neq 0$ *and*

$$\begin{array}{ll} x_1(k) & = y_1(k)^{a_{11}(k)} \cdots y_s(k)^{a_{1s}(k)} \delta_1(k) \\ & \vdots \\ x_s(k) & = y_1(k)^{a_{s1}(k)} \cdots y_s(k)^{a_{ss}(k)} \delta_s(k) \\ x_{s+1}(k) & = y_{s+1}(k) \\ & \vdots \\ x_n(k) & = y_n(k). \end{array} \tag{12}$$

$R_k$ *is a normal local ring with quotient field* $K$ *(which is obtained by a toric blowup of* $R_0(k)$*) such that* $S_k$ *is a localization at a maximal ideal of the integral closure of* $R_k$ *in* $K^*$. *The prime ideals*

$$p_j = p(\hat{R}_j)_\infty = \{f \in \hat{R}_j \mid \nu(f) = \infty\} = (g_1(j), \ldots, g_{n-\lambda}(j))$$

*with*

$$g_i(j) \equiv x_{s+i}(j) \ mod \ m(\hat{R}_j)^2$$

*and*

$$q_j = p(\hat{S}_j)_\infty = p(\hat{R}_j)_\infty \hat{S}_j = (g_1(j), \ldots, g_{n-\lambda}(j))$$

*with*

$$g_i(j) \equiv y_{s+i}(j) \ mod \ m(\hat{S}_j)^2.$$

*Furthermore, there are isomorphisms of abelian groups*

$$\Gamma^*/\Gamma \cong \mathbf{Z}^s/A(k)\mathbf{Z}^s,$$

$[S_k/m_{S_k} : R_k/m_{R_k}] = f, \ \mid det(A(k)) \mid = e, \ [QF(\hat{S}_k) : QF(\hat{R}_k)] = ef$ *and* $S_k/m_{S_k} = R_k/m_{R_k}[\tau]$.

(3) *Let* $k'$ *be an algebraic closure of* $V^*/m_{V^*}$. *Suppose that* $j < k \in I$.

(a) *There are relations*

$$y_i(j) = \prod_{c=1}^{s} y_c(k)^{d_{ic}} \epsilon_i \tag{13}$$

*where* $d_{ic}$ *are natural numbers and* $\epsilon_i \in S_k$ *is a unit for* $1 \leq i \leq s$. *Let* $D(j,k)$ *be the* $s \times s$ *matrix of* (13). *Then* $det(D(j,k)) \neq 0$.

(b) *There exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} R_k \arrow[r] & S_k \\ R_j \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & S_j \arrow[u] \end{tikzcd} \tag{14}$$

(c) *We have actions of* $\Gamma^*/\Gamma$ *on* $\hat{S}_j\otimes_{S_j/m_{S_j}}k'$ *such that*

$$(\hat{S}_j\otimes_{S_j/m_{S_j}}k')^{\Gamma^*/\Gamma}\cong \hat{R}_j\otimes_{R_j/m_{R_j}}k'$$

*for all* $j$*, and this action is compatible with restriction.*

*We have an isomorphism*

$$\hat{S}_j\otimes_{S_j/m_{S_j}}k'\cong k'[[\overline{y}_1(j),\ldots,\overline{y}_n(j)]]$$

*where* $\overline{y}_1(j),\ldots,\overline{y}_n(j)$ *are defined by*

$$x_i(j)=\begin{cases}\overline{y}_1(j)^{a_{i1}(j)}\cdots\overline{y}_s(j)^{a_{is}(j)} & \text{if } 1\le i\le s\\ \overline{y}_i(j) & \text{if } s+1\le i\le n\end{cases}$$

*Let* $(b_{\alpha,\beta}(j))=adjA(j)$ *and* $\omega$ *be a primitive* $e$*-th root of unity. The action of* $\Gamma^*/\Gamma$ *on* $\hat{S}_j\otimes_{S_j/m_{S_j}}k'\cong k'[[\overline{y}_1(j),\ldots,\overline{y}_n(j)]]$ *is defined for*

$$c\in \mathbf{Z}^s/A(j)\mathbf{Z}^s\cong\Gamma^*/\Gamma$$

*by*

$$\sigma_c(\overline{y}_\alpha(j))=\begin{cases}\omega^{\sum_{\beta=1}^s b_{\alpha,\beta}(j)c_\beta}\overline{y}_\alpha(j) & \text{if } 1\le\alpha\le s\\ \overline{y}_\alpha(j) & \text{if } s+1\le j\le n.\end{cases}$$

(4)

$$V^*=\lim_{\rightarrow}S_j=\cup_{j\in I}S_j$$

*and*

$$V=\lim_{\rightarrow}R_j=\cup_{j\in I}R_j.$$

*For* $j\in I$*, let* $\Lambda_j$ *be the free* $\mathbf{Z}$ *module* $\Lambda_j=\sum_{i=1}^s\nu(x_i(j))\mathbf{Z}$*, and let* $\Omega_j$ *be the free* $\mathbf{Z}$ *module* $\Omega_j=\sum_{i=1}^s\nu^*(y_i(j))\mathbf{Z}$*. Then*

$$\Gamma=\lim_{\rightarrow}\Lambda_j=\cup_{j\in I}\Lambda_j$$

*and*

$$\Gamma^*=\lim_{\rightarrow}\Omega_j=\cup_{j\in I}\Omega_j.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that $R'$ is the regular local ring of Theorem 6.1 [9], and $\tilde{R}$ is the regular local ring of Theorem 6.4. By Theorem 6.1 [9], there exists a sequence of local rings

$$R_0(0)\to R_0\to S_0$$

such that $R'\subset R_0(0)$, $\tilde{R}\subset R_0(0)$ and the conclusions of Theorem 6.3 [9] and Theorem 6.5 hold for this sequence. In particular, (1) and (2) of the theorem hold for $R_0(0)\to R_0\to S_0$ and $p(\hat{S}_0)_\infty$, $p(\hat{R}_0)_\infty$ have the desired form.

Suppose that $m$ is a positive integer, $f=(f_1,\ldots,f_m)\in(V^*)^m$. Set $u_i=y_i(0)$, $1\le i\le n$. Set $u_{n+i}=f_i$ for $1\le i\le m$. If $f_i\in V^*\cap K=V$, also set $v_i=f_i$.

By Theorem 4.9 [9] and Theorem 6.1 [9], with the $R^*$, $S^*$ in the assumptions of Theorem 4.9 [9] set as $R^*=R_0(0)$, $S^*=S_0$, and with the $\{u_i\}$ and $\{v_i\}$ defined as above, and then applying Theorem 6.5 (and Remark 6.6), there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R_0(f) \arrow[r] & R_f \arrow[r] & S_f \\
R_0(0) \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & & S_0 \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that the vertical arrows are sequences of monoidal transforms along $V^*$, (1) and (2) of this theorem hold for

$$R_0(f)\rightarrow R_f\rightarrow S_f$$

and (3)(a) of this theorem holds for

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R_f \arrow[r] & S_f \\
R_0 \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & S_0 \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}.$$

We have that $\det(D(0,f))\ne 0$ since (1) holds for $S_0$ and $S_f$. Define a partial ordering on $I=\sqcup_{m\in\mathbf{N}_+}(V^*)^m$ by $f\le g$ if $S_f\subset S_g$. We will associate to $0\in V^*$ the sequence $R_0(0)\rightarrow R_0\rightarrow S_0$ constructed in the beginning of the proof. Suppose that $\alpha\le\beta$. We have

$$S_0\subset S_\alpha\subset S_\beta$$

so the proof of (2) of Theorem 7.2 shows that (3)(a) of this Theorem holds for $\alpha,\beta$.

(3)(b) holds since

$$R_\alpha=S_\alpha\cap K\subset S_\beta\cap K=R_\beta.$$

(3)(c) is immediate, since the conclusions of Theorem 6.1 [9] hold. In particular, (11) of Theorem 4.7 [9] holds.

Finally, we will establish (4) of the Theorem. By construction, $V^*=\cup_{j\in I}S_j$. If $f\in V$, we have $f\in S_f\cap K=R_f$, thus $V=\cup_{j\in I}R_j$. By construction, $\cup_{j\in I}\Omega_j=\Gamma^*$, since $\nu^*(f)\in\Omega_f$ for $f\in V^*$. We also have $\cup_{j\in I}\Lambda_j=\Gamma$, since $\nu(f)\in\Lambda_f$ for $f\in V$. $I$ is a directed set as shown in the proof of Theorem 7.2. ☐

**Theorem 8.2.** *Let assumptions be as in Theorem 8.1. There exists a partially ordered set $I$ and algebraic regular local rings $\{S_i\mid i\in I\}$ with quotient field $K^*$ which are dominated by $V^*$ and algebraic local rings with toric singularities $\{R_i\mid i\in I\}$ such that*

(1)
$$V^*=\lim_{\rightarrow}S_i=\cup_{i\in I}S_i, V=\lim_{\rightarrow}R_i=\cup_{i\in I}R_i$$
*and each $S_i$ is a localization at a maximal ideal of the integral closure of $R_i$ in $K^*$.*

(2) $\hat S_j/p(\hat S_j)_\infty$ *are regular local rings for all $j$ and*
$$T^*=\lim_{\rightarrow}\hat S_j/p(\hat S_j)_\infty$$
*is a Henselian valuation ring such that $(T^*,Q(T^*))$ is an immediate extension of $(V^*,K^*)$.*

(3) $\hat R_j/p(\hat R_j)_\infty$ *has normal toric singularities for all $j$ and*
$$T=\lim_{\rightarrow}\hat R_j/p(\hat R_j)_\infty$$
*is a Henselian valuation ring such that $(T,Q(T))$ is an immediate extension of $(V,K)$.*

(4) *Further suppose that $k=V^*/m_{V^*}$ is algebraically closed (of characteristic zero). Then the action of $\Gamma^*/\Gamma$ on $\hat S_j$ by $k$-algebra isomorphisms extends to an action of $\Gamma^*/\Gamma$ on $\hat S_j/p(\hat S_j)_\infty$, and an action of $\Gamma^*/\Gamma$ on $T^*$ such that $\hat R_j/p(\hat R_j)_\infty\cong(\hat S_j/p(\hat S_j)_\infty)^{\Gamma^*/\Gamma}$ and $T=(T^*)^{\Gamma^*/\Gamma}$.*

*Proof.* The theorem follows from Theorems 8.1, 7.3 and 7.4. The fact that $p(\hat{S}_j)_\infty$ is fixed by $\Gamma^*/\Gamma$ follows from (2) of Theorem 8.1. ☐

Now the proof of Theorem 1.3 follows from Theorem 8.2. The proof that $Q(U^*)$ is Galois over $Q(U)$ is as in Theorem 1.2.

## References

[1] Abhyankar, S., Local uniformization on algebraic surfaces over ground fields of characteristic $p \neq 0$, Annals of Math, 63 (1956), 491-526.

[2] Abhyankar, S., Simultaneous resolution for algebraic surfaces, Amer. J. Math, 78 (1956), 761-790.

[3] Abhyankar, S., Ramification theoretic methods in algebraic geometry, Annals of Math. Studies 43, Princeton University Press, 1959.

[4] Abhyankar, S., Resolution of Singularities of embedded algebraic surfaces, Academic Press, New York, 1966.

[5] Abhyankar, S., Resolution of singularities and modular Galois theory, Bulletin of the AMS, 38, 131-171.

[6] Cutkosky, S.D., Local factorization and monomialization of morphisms, Asterisque, 1999.

[7] Cutkosky, S.D., Simultaneous resolution of singularities, Proc. American Math. Soc. 128, (2000), 1905-1910.

[8] Cutkosky, S.D., Local Monomialization of Transcendental Extensions, preprint 2003, www.math.missouri.edu/∼cutkosky

[9] Cutkosky, S.D. and Piltant, O., Ramification of valuations, to appear in Advances in Math., www.math.missouri.edu/∼cutkosky

[10] Endler, O., Valuation Theory, Springer Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1972.

[11] Grothendieck A. and Dieudonné J., Eléments de Géometrie Algébrique IV, Étude locale des schémes et des morphisms de schémas (seconde partie), Publ. Math. IHES 24 (1965).

[12] Hartshorne, R., Algebraic Geometry, Springer-Verlag, 1977.

[13] Hironaka, H., Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero, Annals of Math, 79 (1964), 109-326.

[14] Heinzer, W. and Sally, J., Extensions of valuations to the completion of a local domain, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 71 (1991), 175-185.

[15] Hungerford, T. , Algebra, Springer Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin (1974).

[16] Kaplansky, I., Maximal fields with valuations, Duke J. 9 (1942), 303-321.

[17] Krull, W., Galoissche Theorie bewerteter Körper, Sitzungsberichte der Bayerischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, München (1930), 225-238.

[18] Krull, W., Allgemeine Bewertungstheorie, J. reine angew. Math. 167 (1931), 160-196.

[19] Kuhlmann, F.-V., Pank, M. and Roquette, P., Immediate and purely wild extensions of valued fields, Manuscripta Math. 55 (1986), 39-67.

[20] Kuhlmann, F.-V., Valuation theoretic and model theoretic aspects of local uniformization, in Resolution of Singularities, edited by H. Hauser, J. Lipman, F. Oort, A. Quirós, Springer-Verlag, 2000.

[21] Schilling, O.F.G., The theory of valuations, Mathematical Surveys IV, AMS (1950).

[22] Teissier, B., Valuations, Deformations and Toric Geometry, Proceedings of the Saskatoon Conference and Workshop on Valuation Theory, Volume II, F-V Kuhlmann, S. Kuhlmann, M. Marshall editors, Fields Inst. Comm., to appear.

[23] Zariski, O., Local uniformization of algebraic varieties, Math. Ann. 41 (1940), 852-896.

[24] Zariski, O. and Samuel, P., Commutative Algebra 2, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1960.

Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri, Columbia MO 65211.
*E-mail address*: `cutkoskys@math.missouri.edu, ghezzi@math.missouri.edu`